

# **ICT-assisted multi-campus teaching: Principles and practice to impact equity of experience for students**

Alan Anderson  
Centre for Teaching and Learning  
The University of Newcastle

Elizabeth Date-Huxtable  
Centre for Teaching and Learning  
The University of Newcastle



# Background

- Array of ICT-assisted delivery options
- Panacea?
- Multi Campus Teaching challenges
- “Despite the growth of [MCT] little evidence exists to guide the development of multi-campus course delivery” (Ebden, 2010, p.1).
- Need for evidence-based guidelines and resources to support such initiatives at UoN.

# Purpose

- To determine whether/how Australian universities are developing/using online resources to foster good practice and equity in relation to Multi Campus Teaching (MCT).
- Use results to inform the development of such resources at UoN.

# Method

1. A web search for MCT policies, strategies and resources used by Australian universities:
  - First to determine whether the university was a multi-campus institution
  - Next, keyword searches, e.g. "multi-campus teaching" and multi-campus policy" to identify resources and documents
2. Staff interviews to gain insight into how policy and principles are interpreted and applied in practice 'on the ground' (esp. at UoN).

*NB, It is acknowledged that publicly available information online may not reflect the internal policy strategy and resource generation processes currently in action.*

# Results of web search

- 37 (of 42) universities in Australia have more than one teaching campus.
- 5 have policies, strategies and resources specifically addressing MCT
- 2 have developed policies and strategies to support specific technologies used for MCT.
- None of the 37 MCT universities had markedly comprehensive open online resources on MCT.

# Common MCT principles and strategies

- **Equity and equivalence –**
- Teaching and learning standards must be equivalent at each campus.
- **Equivalent need not necessarily mean ‘identical’**
- **Learning decisions should come before delivery decisions. i.e.** begin with consideration of the context and intended learning outcomes.

# Interviews: How principles are applied in practice: (Equivalence)

mov

## **Interviews:** How principles are applied in practice (different contexts and cohorts)

*“I got no takers [for Chat] in the time slot. ...*

*I guess because they are at a **distance** from the campus and they’ve often got **other work commitments that preclude** them from always **being in one spot at one time**, so it’s about them juggling their commitments ...*

*So that’s why the **asynchronous method** I think still is **more attractive to them** (LB).”*



# Interviews: How principles are applied in practice (Equivalence)

- Synchronous **Chat** used for talking circle:

*“We put a lot of store on the ... relationship environment ...*

***Chat** was [used] to create that ..., and as a whole I think we achieved it” (MCTNO).*

- *An ‘equivalent’ not ‘identically taught’ activity.*

## Interviews: Principles and strategies that treat all equally

- “I **required all students** (at local and remote campuses) **to submit their assignments by email** (pdf) ... I marked them by annotating the pdf” ...
- “If a student emailed me a question I would copy the answer to all students – **it also makes sure all campuses are treated equally.**” ...
- “Having more distributed notes might also help ... so there may be some advantages in teaching this way.” (Lecturer WGM).

# Conclusion and recommendations

- Growing body of literature on challenges of MCT.
- A small proportion of universities have comprehensive open resources that specifically address MCT.
- Few concrete solutions or comprehensive models to assist decision-making.
- Web & literature search results accord with Ebdon (2010, p.1) that “despite growth of [MCT] little evidence exists to guide the development of multi-campus course delivery.”
- More evaluation & sharing of outcomes across institutions needed to inform evidence-based guidelines and practice.

# Further information and references

UTAS <http://www.utas.edu.au/university-council/university-governance/university-guidelines/teaching-and-learning-quality-issues-related-to-the-multi-campus-nature-of-the-university-of-tasmania>

Griffith <http://www.griffith.edu.au/education/program-leaders>

Ebden, M (2010). We're on a steep learning curve: The benefits and challenges of multi-campus university course delivery. In Devline, J. Nagy and A. Litchenberg (Eds.) Research and Development in Higher Education: Reshaping Higher Education, 33 (pp. 267-277). Melbourne, 6-9 July, 2010.