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Half day. Maximum participants 30. Intended audience: course instructors in any discipline; no particular expertise required.

Objectives
To guide instructors in the use of the Aropä (peer-assessment) and PeerWise (student-generated Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs)) systems, giving sufficient detail for competent and confident independent use.

Activities
Contributing student pedagogy (CSP) encourages students to contribute to the learning of their peers and to value the contributions of their peers (Hamer, et al., 2008). This workshop will explore the challenges and benefits of using CSPs, introducing two existing systems that support its use: in peer-assessment and in student-generated MCQs.

Introduction. Brief discussion of the nature and benefit of CSPs. We expect that participants will contribute to this discussion by describing CSP methods that they use.

Peer-assessment. Brief introduction to peer-assessment, including a discussion of when it is appropriate, and student learning advantages. Participants will be encouraged to think of an appropriate use of peer assessment in one of their own courses.

Defining a peer-assessment assignment. Different strategies and parameters for peer assessment; allocation of author-reviewer pairs (e.g. anonymity, self-review, streams). Demonstration of these features in Aropä. Participants will make their own strategy choices with respect to their own courses.

Choosing an appropriate rubric. Different possible types of rubric and consequences for students’ learning and grades. Example rubrics in Aropä. Participants will design their own rubric for their assignment.

The student view. Demonstration of the student view, with respect to uploading and checking submissions, and reviewing. Viewing the assignment in the role of a student; checking the ongoing progress of the submissions and reviews.

Survey of student opinion. Using Aropä to gather comments from students about the use of the system after the peer-assessment exercise.

Quality control: rating the reviews. Demonstration of how instructors can give all reviewers a rating, as a way of ensuring quality control. Participants will consider a rubric to use for rating reviewers.

Calculating grades. Demonstration of different methods for assigning student grades, based on marks given by the student reviewers, and reviewer ratings. Eliminating ‘rogue’ reviewers. Participants will discuss the criteria on which they would eliminate reviewers.

Aropä wrap-up. Includes participants’ comments or questions.

Student-generated assessment questions. Introduction to the learning benefits of students’ creating questions, and in particular, multiple choice questions.

Creating an empty repository. Options for setting up a PeerWise repository and granting students access. Participants will consider which option would be most appropriate for their local context.

MCQ authoring, answering and evaluating. Familiarity with the interface for writing questions, as well as answering and evaluating questions. Participants will...
author questions of their own and share them with other participants in a special workshop repository.

**Monitoring student activity.** Overview of the administrator interface, including tracking student participation. Several ideas for required student activity will be discussed and participant suggestions are welcome.

**General discussion and questions.** It is expected that the participants will have questions, suggestions, and examples of CSP from their own practise. Any that have not been addressed by this stage in the workshop will be discussed at its conclusion.

Participants will be provided with printed user manuals for both systems. A testing database will be set up in advance for participants to use in setting up test assignments; this will include some example assignments, and will remain available to participants for at least six months after the workshop.

While participants will be encouraged to design their own peer-assessment assignment during the Aropä session, they will not be expected to set it up online. Doing so (especially for the first time) can take considerable time. It is preferable for participants to be shown the full range of Aropä options, rather than focus on the actual use of the technology and the details of defining rubrics. Participants who have wireless laptops may set up a live online assignment during the workshop if they wish.

We do not intend to present our research results on the educational benefits of the use of these technologies (as these have been published elsewhere), but will refer to them as appropriate.
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**Websites**
Aropä: https://aropa.ec.auckland.ac.nz/
Peerwise: http://peerwise.cs.auckland.ac.nz/